

DOI: [10.15419/bmrat.v5i7.458](https://doi.org/10.15419/bmrat.v5i7.458)

Research

**Article History:**

Received: 20 May 2018

Accepted: 01 July 2018

Published: 28 July 2018

**Keywords:**

Growth-differentiation factor-15,  
Endothelium, Endothelial progenitor  
cells, Diabetes mellitus

**Author for correspondence:**

Alexander E. Berezin

e-mail: [dr\\_berezin@mail.ru](mailto:dr_berezin@mail.ru)

## Association of growth-differentiation factor-15 with the number of circulating proangiogenic endothelial progenitor cells in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus

Alexander E. Berezin<sup>1</sup>, Alexander A.Kremzer<sup>2</sup>, Daniel Petrovich<sup>3</sup>, IoanaMozos<sup>4</sup> and Alexander A. Berezin<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Medical University, Internal Medicine  
Department, Zaporozhye, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup>State Medical University, Clinical Pharmacology  
Department, Zaporozhye, Ukraine

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Histology and Embryology, University of  
Ljubljana, Faculty of Medicine, Slovenia

<sup>4</sup>Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy,  
Department of Functional Sciences, Timișoara,  
Romania

<sup>5</sup>State Medical University, Student of Medicine Faculty,  
Zaporozhye, Ukraine

**Abstract**

**The objective:** to investigate the relationship between levels of growth differentiation factor-15 (GDF-15) and circulating number of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) with angiopoietin phenotypes: CD34+CD14+CD309+, and CD34+CD14+CD309+Tie2+ in patients with type 2 DM. **Methods:** The study was retrospectively involved 76 patients with type 2 DM aged 38 to 55 years and 30 healthy volunteers. Data collection included demographic and anthropometric information, hemodynamic performances and biomarkers of the diseases. Flow cytometry was used to determine EPCs' populations. **Results:** The levels of GDF-15 in peripheral blood of diabetics associated with age ( $r = 0.31$ ,  $P = 0.044$ ), high-sensitive C-reactive protein [hs-CRP] ( $r = 0.40$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), smoking ( $r = 0.38$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), body mass index [BMI] ( $r = 0.34$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), LDL cholesterol ( $r = 0.28$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), glycated hemoglobin [HbA1c] ( $r = -0.28$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), number of CV risk factors ( $r = 0.26$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ). In univariate logistic regression analysis we found that level of GDF-15  $\geq 618$  pg/mL, hs-CRP  $\geq 7.12$  mg/L, HbA1c  $\geq 6.4\%$ , fasting glucose  $\geq 6.7$  mmol/L, and BMI  $\geq 27.3$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> predicted deficiency of both angiopoietic phenotypes of EPCs. In multivariate logistic regression model GDF-15  $\geq 618$  pg/mL demonstrated the best odds ratio values for declining of EPCs in diabetics in comparison with other predictors including BMI, HbA1c and hs-CRP. **Conclusion:** GDF-15 was remarkably evaluated in type 2 DM population to healthy volunteers, and it was an independent factor that contributes to mobilization and probably proliferation of endothelial precursors with high angiopoietic activity.

## 1. Introduction

Prevalence of diabetes mellitus (DM) increases rapidly worldwide reaching pandemic proportion in developing and developed countries [1–3]. The impact of DM on the public health strong associated with vascular complications, which predominantly determine morbidity and mortality from the disease [4]. Indeed, DM regardless of phenotypes exhibit close link with atherosclerosis, stable ischemic heart disease, myocardial infarction / acute coronary syndrome, peripheral artery disease (PAD), retinopathy, stroke, heart failure chronic kidney disease and occur death prematurely due to cardiovascular (CV) causes [5,6]. Despite being well-designed programs for lifestyle modification, glycemic, lipids and blood pressure control patients with type 2 DM (T2DM) have demonstrated 2-8-fold higher CV mortality rate to those who did not have T2DM [7,8]. In this context, early stratification of people with diabetes at high risk of vascular complication based on biomarker assay was recognized as promising [9]. Because developing of T2DM associated with glycaemia, insulin resistance, overweight / abdominal obesity, adipocytokine dysfunction, and other co-existing abnormalities (mitochondrial energy deficiency, hypercoagulation, oxidative stress, protein glycation, immune responses, inflammatory activity, some hormonal changes and metabolic memory phenomenon) [10,11], there needs a biological marker reflecting several faces of pathophysiological process and corresponding to metabolic syndrome and T2DM.

Growth differentiation factor-15 (GDF-15) belongs to the transforming growth factor-beta/bone morphogenetic protein superfamily that involves in the pathogenesis of several diseases (CV disease, infective and inflammatory bowel diseases, metabolic diseases, rheumatic and autoimmune diseases) and it was recently found an independent predictor of all-cause and CV mortality in general population and T2DM patients [12–14]. The levels of circulating GDF-15 were positively related to traditional CV risk factors (age, smoking, hypertension, DM, body mass index), non-traditional CV risk factors (uric acid, pro-inflammatory cytokines, oxidative stress biomarkers, telomere length) [15]. GDF-15 releases from broad spectrum of the cells (cardiomyocytes, endothelial cells, adipocytes, macrophages / mononuclear, vascular smooth muscle cells, astrocytes) due to direct pro-inflammatory cytokine stimulation and after tissue injury and hypoxia [16]. Most of the investigators reported that GDF-15 plays a pivotal role in the protection of tissue from different injuries, although the innate molecular mechanisms of the effect remain unclear. The hypothesis of the study based on the assumption that GDF-15 could regulate vascular function and restores endothelial integrity through mobilization of endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) with angiopoietin phenotypes and thereby mediate tissue repair activity.

According to molecular characteristics, EPCs may express appropriate surface antigens, such as CD31, CD 144, CD309 (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2), and CD133, but in the absence of CD45. The CD45(-) cells, which are detected and isolated based on single or combined expression of CD34, CD133 and CD309, referred to as EPCs [17], while after differentiation EPCs lose CD133 antigen and begin to be positively on CD31, vascular endothelial cadherin, endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) and von Willebrand factor [18]. Depending on ability to appear in the fibronectin-coated dish all EPCs were divided into early outgrowth or late outgrowth endothelial cells. Interestingly, the late outgrowth precursors originated from peripheral blood, and ex vivo demonstrated appropriate immune phenotype CD31+CD146+CD105+CD309+ and Tei2 and functional properties suitable mature endothelial cells. There are two distinct populations of late outgrowth progenitor cells based on differential expression of the cell surface marker CD34. The population of EPCs with co-expression of CD34 antigen additionally to CD31(+), CD146(+), CD105(+), and CD309(+) exhibited higher proliferative capability and angiopoietin activity to CD34(-) EPCs [19]. There is evidence regarding that the absence of CD34(+) EPC in the colony led to cultures collapsed within one or two passages that confirm an idea of strong hierarchy in self-renewal EPCs may be an essential functional feature of precursors. Finally, late outgrowth precursors may differentiate into functionally mature endothelial cells and progenitor-like angiogenesis-promoting cells (CD34+ EPCs).

Numerous clinical studies have shown the lowered number and weak function of CD34+ EPCs in patients with pre-diabetes and T2DM [20–22]. Moreover, vascular complications of DM including retinopathy and atherosclerosis, muscular related to declined circulating the number of CD34+ EPCs with high proliferative capacity and ability to restore vascular integrity and function [23]. Thus, GDF-15 and CD34+EPCs could coordinate vascular repair and restore endothelial function in diabetics. This study aimed to investigate the relationship between levels of GDF-15 and circulating number of EPCs with proliferative and angiopoietin phenotypes: CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup>, and CD34+CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup>Tie2<sup>+</sup> in patients with T2DM without known CV diseases.

## 2. Methods

The study cohort consisted of 76 patients with type 2 DM (41 males) aged 38 to 55 years who were retrospectively involved between March 2014 and July 2017. All DM patients included in the study have no known CV diseases including angina pectoris, previous myocardial infarction/stroke, heart failure, and asymptomatic atherosclerosis (defined by the negative result of the contrast-enhanced multiple spiral tomography angiography). Apart from established CV disease, the criteria of non-inclusion were acute infections; active inflammation; pulmonary edema; tachyarrhythmia; valvular heart disease; thyrotoxicosis; ischemic stroke; intracranial hemorrhage; surgery; trauma, autoimmune disease, malignancy, before the study entry. As a control cohort, we enrolled 30 healthy volunteers matched for age and sex with type 2 DM patients.

### (a) Ethical declaration

All the patients have given their written informed consent for participation in the study. The study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee. The investigators followed strictly all the requirements to clinical trials in conformity with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, 1964, good clinical practice provided by International Conference on Harmonization, Council of Europe Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being in view of using achievements in biology and medicine, convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine, including Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine, concerning Biomedical Research, and legislation of Ukraine.

Diagnosis of T2DM was checked and confirmed according to the current recommendation of ADA (2018) [24]. Patients with type 2 DM were treated according to current clinical guideline [6]. All DM patients were treated with metformin in individually adjusted daily doses under continuous control of fasting glycemia, the daily profile of glucose concentration and glycated hemoglobin level (HbA1c). No insulin given patients with T2DM were selected in the study. Twenty-five T2DM individuals with mild-to-moderate arterial hypertension were treated with angiotensin-II receptor antagonist valsartan in daily doses 80 mg to 160 mg depending on office systolic and diastolic BP values. Dyslipidemic T2DM patients have treated with statins predominantly atorvastatin in averaged doses 40-80 mg/daily.

### (b) Demographic data, smoking status, and anthropometric measurements

Demographic factors such as age, gender, height, weight, body mass, body mass index, waist circumference, and waist-to-hip ratio past medical and medication history were collected at baseline. Current smoking was defined as consumption of one cigarette daily for three months [25].

Anthropometric data were measured by professional health attendants with the participants standing without shoes and heavy outer garments with a wall-mounted stadiometer (OMRON, Japan). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by the staff person as weight (kg) divided by height

squared ( $m^2$ ). Waist and hip circumference were measured in a standing position per protocol [26, 27].

### (c) Cardiac ultrasound and Doppler procedures

Transthoracic echocardiography was performed on ACUSON ultrasound system (Siemens, Germany) in B-mode regimen and Tissue Doppler Imaging (TDI) regimen from parasternal, subcostal, and apical positions over the short and long axis using 5 MHz phased transducers. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was measured by the modified Simpson's method [28]. Left ventricular (LV) mass was estimated using the formula recommended by American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group [28]. LV hypertrophy (LVH) was defined as an LV mass/body surface area (BSA)  $\geq 96$  g/ $m^2$ , for women, and  $\geq 116$  g/ $m^2$ , for men [29]. To measure peak systolic ( $S_m$ ), early diastolic ( $E_m$ ), and late diastolic ( $A_m$ ) myocardial velocities TDI was carried out according to the American Society of Echocardiography [29].

### (d) Calculation of glomerular filtration rate

CKD-EPI formula was used to calculate Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) [30].

### (e) Blood sampling

Blood samples were drawn in the morning following overnight fasting (at 7-8 a.m.) into barcoded silicone test tubes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) wherein two mL of 5% Trilon B solution were added. Then samples were centrifuged upon permanent cooling at 6,000 rpm for 3 minutes, and then plasma was collected to be immediately refrigerated. Each aliquot was stored at a temperature  $-70^\circ\text{C}$ .

The GDF-15 level was measured by ELISA assay using commercial kit manufacturing by LifeSpan BioSciences (USA) on Elecsys 1010 analyzer (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Detection range was 31.2 to 2,000 pg/mL.

High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (C-RP) levels were measured by the nephelometric technique with the commercial kit (Eagle Biosciences, Nashua, NH, USA) and obtained with "AU640 Analyzer" (Olympus Diagnostic Systems Group, Japan).

High-performance liquid chromatography method was performed to determine hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) in 5% Trilon B anticoagulated blood samples.

Concentrations of total cholesterol (TC), cholesterol of high-density lipoproteins (HDL-C), low-density lipoproteins (LDL-C) and triglycerides (TG) were measured by the direct enzymatic method with commercial kits (DIALAB, Neudorf, Austria) using automatic analyzer Roche P800 (F. Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel, Switzerland).

### (f) Sample preparation for isolating peripheral blood mononuclear cells

We used a standard method for isolating of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from collected blood samples by means density gradient centrifugation using "Lympholyte" solution (Cedarlane Laboratories, Burlington, ON, Canada). Each prepared sample contained 5 mL of peripheral blood were previously exposed to 1:2 dilution with Phosphate-Buffered Saline (PBS, Gibco™ PBS buffers, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and stratified onto 5 mL of "Lympholyte" solution. All received samples were centrifuged 30 min at room temperature at 3,000 rpm without a brake. After separation, white blood cells were recollected, diluted with PBS+0.02% Tween and centrifuged at 1,200 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. For erythrocytes removal pellet was treated with RBC lysis buffer and washed 6X with 300  $\mu\text{L}$  in PBS before analysis.

### (g) Determining endothelial progenitor cells

Cell populations were phenotyped by multicolor flow cytometry in a single-tube panel consisting of CD45, CD34, CD14, CD309(VEGFR2) and Tie-2 antigens as per HD-FACS (High-Definition Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorter) methodology according to gating strategy of International Society of Hematotherapy and Graft Engineering sequential (ISHAGE protocol of gating strategy) [31]. To determine appropriate antigens on the surfaces of EPCs we used FITC-CD34 (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), FITC-CD14 (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), APC-CDTie2 (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany), PE-CD45 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA), and PE-CD309 (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). Angiopoietin immune phenotypes of EPC have been identified as  $CD45^-CD34^+CD14^+CD309^+$  and  $CD45^-CD34^+CD14^+CD309^+Tie2^+$ . For each sample, 500 thousand events have been analyzed. As evident from Figure, representative dot-plots reported coherent EPC phenotyping.

### (h) Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of the results obtained was carried out in SPSS system for Windows, Version 20 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). The data were expressed as mean (M) and error of the mean ( $\pm m$ ) or a 95% confidence interval (CI); the median (Me) and the interquartile range (IQR). Categorical variables were reported as numerous (n) and percentages (%). Shapiro-Wilk test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test were used to assay the normality of continuous variables. To compare the main parameters of patients' groups (subject to the type of distribution of the parameters analyzed), one-tailed Student *t*-test or Mann-Whitney U-test were used. The two-tailed version of the Wilcoxon test was used for paired comparison of parameter values inside the group. Categorical variables between groups were compared with Chi<sup>2</sup>test ( $\chi^2$ ) and Fisher F exact test. The factors, which could be associated potentially with the number of circulating EPCs, were determined by means of univariate analysis of variance (ANOVA); and then, the identified factors with  $P < 0.1$  also were studied by means of multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA). The odds ratio (OR), Wald  $\chi^2$  and 95% CI were calculated for all the independent predictors of declining of the circulating number of EPCs with angiogenic phenotypes. The calculated difference of  $P < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

## 3. Results

**Table 1** shows a general characteristic of the individuals included in the study. Patients with type 2 DM and healthy volunteers were matched for age, sex, smoking status, heart rate, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and frequency of left ventricular hypertrophy. However, at least 32% of all patients with established type 2 DM had hypertension, 36.8% of individuals had dyslipidemia, and 31.5% / 27.6% demonstrated overweight / obesity. Expectedly, body mass index and weight to hip ratio were significantly lower in healthy volunteers when compared with people with diabetes. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure levels in people with diabetes were slightly higher for healthy individuals.

Biological markers in individuals participating in the study are reported in **Table 2**. There were no significant differences between both cohorts in estimated GFR as well as in levels of creatinine. Fasting glucose, HbA1c, hs-CRP, and GDF-15 in blood were sufficiently higher in people with diabetes to healthy volunteers. Additionally, lipid profile abnormality was found in people with diabetes rather than in healthy volunteers. Total cholesterol (TC), cholesterol of low-density lipoproteins (LDL) and triglycerides (TG) were higher, but cholesterol of high-density lipoproteins (HDL) was lower in people with diabetes in comparison with healthy individuals.

The number of EPCs with angiopoietin phenotypes in individuals participating in the study presented in **Table 3**. There was significant difference ( $P = 0.001$ ) between cohorts in the number of circulating EPCs labeled  $CD45^-CD34^+CD14^+CD309^+$  and  $CD45^-CD34^+CD14^+CD309^+Tie2^+$ .

Table 1. General characteristic of individuals participating in the study

| Parameters                     | Healthy volunteers<br>(n=30) | Entire group of T2DM patients<br>(n=76) | P<br>value |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------|
| Age, years                     | 45.30±5.30                   | 47.90±5.10                              | 0.66       |
| male, n (%)                    | 16 (53.3%)                   | 41 (53.9%)                              | 0.82       |
| Adherence to smoking,<br>n (%) | 6 (20.0%)                    | 18 (23.7%)                              | 0.16       |
| Hypertension, n (%)            | -                            | 25 (32.9%)                              | 0.001      |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)            | -                            | 28 (36.8%)                              | 0.001      |
| Overweight, n (%)              | -                            | 24 (31.5%)                              | 0.001      |
| Obesity, n (%)                 | -                            | 21 (27.6%)                              | 0.001      |
| BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>         | 23.2 (21.7–25.2)             | 27.3 (24.3–29.5)                        | 0.04       |
| WHR, units                     | 0.85 (0.82 – 0.87)           | 1.02 (0.96 – 1.10)                      | 0.001      |
| Systolic BP, mm Hg             | 121±4                        | 132±7                                   | 0.044      |
| Diastolic BP, mm Hg            | 68±4                         | 78±5                                    | 0.042      |
| Heart rate, beat per<br>min.   | 65.25±4.18                   | 70.15±5.20                              | 0.12       |
| LVEF, %                        | 67.2 (61.9 – 72.8)           | 60.3 (53.1 – 67.2)                      | 0.22       |
| E/Am, U                        | 8.6±0.54                     | 11.1±1.61                               | 0.12       |
| E/Em, U                        | 7.6±0.70                     | 11.0±1.68                               | 0.12       |
| LVH, %                         | -                            | 31 (40.8%)                              | 0.001      |

Notes: Data are expressed as mean (M) and standard deviation (±SD), median (Me) and interquartile range (IQR), numerous (n) and frequencies (%). Abbreviations: **T2DM** - Type two diabetes mellitus, **LVEF** - left ventricular ejection fraction; **LVH** - LV hypertrophy; **WHR**, weight to hip ratio; **U** - unit, **Em** - early diastolic myocardial velocity, **Am** - late diastolic myocardial velocity, **E** - peak velocity of early diastolic left ventricular filling.

Table 2. Biological markers in individuals participating in the study

| Parameters                       | Healthy volunteers<br>(n=30) | Entire group of T2DM<br>patients (n=76) | P<br>value |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------|
| GFR, mL/min/1.73 m <sup>2</sup>  | 95.7 (80.3–114.5)            | 82.8 (71.5–103.1)                       | 0.14       |
| creatinine, μmol/L               | 74.9 (65.1–86.3)             | 87.6 (79.3–95.1)                        | 0.06       |
| fasting blood glucose,<br>mmol/L | 4.9 (4.6–5.5)                | 6.7 (5.8–7.7)                           | 0.001      |
| HbA1c, %                         | 5.1 (4.6–5.8)                | 6.4 (5.9–7.0)                           | 0.012      |
| Total cholesterol,<br>mmol/L     | 4.9 (4.4–5.3)                | 5.8 (5.0–6.6)                           | 0.001      |
| LDL-C, mmol/L                    | 3.00 (2.8–3.7)               | 3.7 (3.2–4.0)                           | 0.001      |
| HDL-C, mmol/L                    | 0.9 (0.8–1.1)                | 0.8 (0.7–1.1)                           | 0.04       |
| TG, mmol/L                       | 2.2 (2.1–2.4)                | 2.8 (2.1–3.1)                           | 0.001      |
| GDF-15, pg /mL                   | 288 (254–323)                | 618 (410–825)                           | 0.001      |
| hs-CRP, mg/L                     | 3.10 (1.03–4.90)             | 7.12 (5.30–9.10)                        | 0.001      |

Abbreviations: **CI** - confidence interval; **T2DM** - type two diabetes mellitus, **GFR** - glomerular filtration rate, **HbA1c** - glycated hemoglobin, **HDL-C** - high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, **LDL-C** - low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Note: Categorical variables are expressed as numerous (n) and percentages (%).

The deficiency of these EPCs was found in people with diabetes in comparison with healthy volunteers.

2486

**Table 3. Cell phenotypes in individuals participating in the study**

| Cell phenotypes   | Healthy volunteers (n=30) | Entire group of T2DM patients (n=76) | P value |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| CD45 <sup>-</sup> CD34 <sup>+</sup> CD14 <sup>+</sup> CD309 <sup>+</sup> , cells/ $\mu$ L                   | 10.54 (6.33-18.12)        | 6.20 (4.30-9.15)                     | 0.001   |
| CD45 <sup>-</sup> CD34 <sup>+</sup> CD14 <sup>+</sup> CD309 <sup>+</sup> Tie2 <sup>+</sup> , cells/ $\mu$ L | 7.23 (5.10-10.20)         | 1.85 (1.01-2.95)                     | 0.001   |

*Abbreviations: T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus. Note: The values are presented as the median and the interquartile range (IQR), the differences validity values obtained by means of two-tailed Mann-Whitney test.*

The univariate linear regression analysis has shown an association between number of EPCs with immune phenotypes determined CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup> and CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup>Tie2<sup>+</sup> and CV risk factors, hemodynamic performances, and various biomarkers. In diabetics the number of CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup> cells in peripheral blood inversely related to GDF-15 ( $r = -0.42$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), BMI ( $r = -0.40$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), hs-CRP ( $r = -0.38$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), LV hypertrophy ( $r = -0.36$ ,  $P = 0.012$ ), fasting glucose ( $r = -0.36$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), number of CV risk factors ( $r = -0.38$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), LDL cholesterol ( $r = -0.30$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ), TG ( $r = -0.30$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), age ( $r = -0.24$ ,  $P = 0.014$ ). Number of EPCs with immune phenotype CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup>Tie2<sup>+</sup> related inversely to GDF-15 ( $r = -0.44$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), BMI ( $r = -0.44$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), hs-CRP ( $r = -0.42$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ), LV hypertrophy ( $r = -0.36$ ,  $P = 0.012$ ), fasting glucose ( $r = -0.38$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), HbA1c ( $r = -0.34$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), TG ( $r = -0.32$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), number of CV risk factors ( $r = -0.36$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ), smoking ( $r = -0.32$ ,  $P = 0.003$ ), LDL cholesterol ( $r = -0.26$ ,  $P = 0.002$ ) and age ( $r = -0.24$ ,  $P = 0.014$ ).

Therefore, levels of GDF-15 in peripheral blood of diabetics associated with age ( $r = 0.31$ ,  $P = 0.044$ ), hs-CRP ( $r = 0.40$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), smoking ( $r = 0.38$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), BMI ( $r = 0.34$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), LDL cholesterol ( $r = 0.28$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), HbA1c ( $r = -0.28$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), number of CV risk factors ( $r = 0.26$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ), whereas in healthy individuals concentrations of GDF-15 were not associated with age, but it related to adherence to smoke ( $r = 0.32$ ,  $P = 0.001$ ).

Multivariate linear regression analysis has shown that the number of CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup> and CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup>Tie2<sup>+</sup> EPCs in peripheral blood related to BMI ( $r = -0.42$ ,  $P = 0.001$  and  $r = -0.44$ ,  $P = 0.002$  respectively), concentration of GDF-15 ( $r = -0.40$ ,  $P = 0.001$  and  $r = -0.42$ ,  $P = 0.001$  respectively), hs-CRP ( $r = -0.34$ ,  $P = 0.001$  and  $r = -0.36$ ,  $P = 0.001$  respectively), fasting glucose ( $r = -0.32$ ,  $P = 0.002$  and  $r = -0.34$ ,  $P = 0.002$  respectively), HbA1c ( $r = -0.24$ ,  $P = 0.001$  and  $r = -0.30$ ,  $P = 0.001$  respectively), LDL cholesterol ( $r = -0.26$ ,  $P = 0.002$  and  $r = -0.30$ ,  $P = 0.001$  respectively). After BMI and LDL cholesterol adjusting multivariate linear regression analysis has demonstrated that the number of CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup> and CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup>Tie2<sup>+</sup> EPCs significantly related to concentration of GDF-15 ( $r = -0.38$ ,  $P = 0.001$  and  $r = -0.40$ ,  $P = 0.001$  respectively), hs-CRP ( $r = -0.32$ ,  $P = 0.001$  and  $r = -0.36$ ,  $P = 0.001$  respectively), HbA1c ( $r = -0.28$ ,  $P = 0.001$  and  $r = -0.30$ ,  $P = 0.001$  respectively) and fasting glucose ( $r = -0.32$ ,  $P = 0.001$  and  $r = -0.33$ ,  $P = 0.003$  respectively).

In univariate logistic regression analysis we found that level of GDF-15 above the median of plasma concentration ( $\geq 618$  pg/mL), hs-CRP  $\geq 7.12$  mg/L, HbA1c  $\geq 6.4\%$ , fasting glucose  $\geq 6.7$  mmol/L, and BMI  $\geq 27.3$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> predicted deficiency of both angiopoietin phenotypes of circulating EPCs. In multivariate logistic regression model GDF-15  $\geq 618$  pg/mL demonstrated the best odds ratio (OR) values for declining of EPCs in people with diabetes in comparison with other predictors including BMI, HbA1c, and hs-CRP **Table 4**. Thus, our hypothesis regarding that

Biomed. Res. Ther. 2018, 5(7): 2480-2492

level of GDF-15 could relate to the circulating number of EPCs with angiopoietin phenotypes was found confirmation in the results of the study.

2487

**Table 4. Univariate and multivariate predictors for declined number of angiopoietic EPCs in diabetics**

| Variables  | Univariate<br>OR (95% CI) | Wald<br>x2 | P-<br>value | Multivariate<br>OR (95% CI) | Wald<br>x2 | P-<br>value |
|--|---------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------|
| <b>Dependent variable: number of CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup> EPCs</b>       |                           |            |             |                             |            |             |
| GDF-15 ( $\geq 618$ pg / mL<br>versus $< 618$ pg / mL)   | 1.12<br>(1.02-1.28)       | 18.2       | 0.001       | 1.10 (1.04-1.19)            | 12.3       | 0.001       |
| hs-CRP ( $\geq 7.12$ mg / L<br>versus $< 7.12$ mg / L)   | 1.04<br>(1.02-1.07)       | 9.3        | 0.048       | 1.02 (1.00-1.04)            | 8.3        | 0.06        |
| Fasting glucose $\geq 6.7$<br>mmol/L versus $<6.7$<br>mmol/L   | 1.03<br>(1.00-1.10)       | 4.4        | 0.062       | -                           | -          | -           |
| HbA1c $\geq 6.4\%$ versus $<6.4\%$   | 1.08<br>(1.02-1.16)       | 8.5        | 0.001       | 1.03 (1.01-1.07)            | 7.9        | 0.05        |
| BMI $\geq 27.3$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> versus<br>$<27.3$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>  | 1.14<br>(1.05-1.23)       | 19.1       | 0.003       | 1.08 (1.02-1.17)            | 9.4        | 0.014       |
| <b>Dependent variable: CD45<sup>-</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup>Tie2<sup>+</sup> EPCs</b> |                           |            |             |                             |            |             |
| GDF-15 ( $\geq 618$ pg / mL<br>versus $< 618$ pg / mL)   | 1.16<br>(1.06-1.31)       | 19.5       | 0.001       | 1.12 (1.03-1.26)            | 15.1       | 0.001       |
| hs-CRP ( $\geq 7.12$ mg / L<br>versus $< 7.12$ mg / L)   | 1.08<br>(1.04-1.16)       | 11.6       | 0.001       | 1.04 (1.00-1.10)            | 10.4       | 0.052       |
| Fasting glucose $\geq 6.7$<br>mmol/L versus $<6.7$<br>mmol/L   | 1.04<br>(1.02-1.09)       | 4.1        | 0.012       | 1.03 (1.00-1.06)            | 3.8        | 0.058       |
| HbA1c $\geq 6.4\%$ versus $<6.4\%$   | 1.09<br>(1.04-1.18)       | 9.4        | 0.001       | 1.05 (1.02-1.09)            | 6.6        | 0.048       |
| BMI $\geq 27.3$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> versus<br>$<27.3$ kg/m <sup>2</sup>  | 1.12<br>(1.01-1.22)       | 16.4       | 0.001       | 1.10 (1.02-1.23)            | 14.4       | 0.001       |

*Abbreviations:* OR – Odds ratio, CI – confidence interval, EPCs – endothelial progenitor cells; GDF-15 – growth differential factor-15; CRP – C-reactive protein; HbA1c – glycated hemoglobin; BMI – body mass index.

#### 4. Discussion

This is the first study that determines the inverse association between levels of GDF-15 in peripheral blood and circulating number of EPCs with angiopoietin capacity labeled CD45<sup>-</sup>CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup> and CD45<sup>-</sup>CD34<sup>+</sup>CD14<sup>+</sup>CD309<sup>+</sup>Tie2<sup>+</sup> in type 2 DM patients without established CV diseases. We confirmed that elevated levels of GDF-15 in diabetic population positively related to age, BMI, smoking, and hs-CRP and that circulating number of EPCs in people with diabetes was significantly lower to healthy volunteers.

In this study, we have been hypothesized that deficiency of EPCs with high proliferative and angiopoietin activity in patients with type 2 DM associating with altered vascular repair could be compensated with the protective capability of GDF-15. Indeed, previous studies have revealed that elevated GDF-15 level could be a protector from injury of numerous tissues, such as heart, adipose tissue, and endothelium, by inhibiting c-Jun N-terminal kinase, Bcl-2-associated death promoter, and epidermal growth factor receptor and activating Smad, eNOS, PI3K, and AKT signaling pathways [32–34]. However, there are severe controversies in this issue, because few reports in opposite revealed that lowered GDF-15 levels are beneficial against endothelial injury

Biomed. Res. Ther. 2018, 5(7): 2480-2492



and microvascular inflammation [35,36]. In contrast, there is evidence that successful glycemic control and treatment of dyslipidemia with statins in moderate-to-high doses did not cause changes in plasma levels of GDF-15 [37,38]. Although traditionally achieving of full control for hyperglycemia and hyperlipidemia is considered a predictor of better clinical outcomes in people with diabetes, lack of GDF-15 dynamic requires to be clarified. We suggest that GDF-15 as stress-induced cytokine interplays PI3K / AKT signaling in EPCs supporting their proliferative capacity. On the other hand, inhibiting c-Jun N-terminal kinase and Bcl-2-associated death promoter with GDF-15 could protect EPCs epigenetically modified by LDL cholesterol, TG, pro-inflammatory cytokines, phospholipases, reactive oxygen species and improve survival of proangiogenic EPCs. Therefore, activating Smad / eNOS in early growth EPCs may accompany by eliminating apoptotic EPCs from circulation that prevent vascular injury [39]. Nevertheless, stimulating of Tie2/PI3K/Akt/eNOS signaling in late growth EPCs supports their re-endothelialization capacity and survival [40]. The final effect of GDF-15 on EPCs is high likely positive and associate with vascular reparation and protection of resident cells from “metabolic memory” phenomenon [41].

Additionally, there is a large body of evidence regarding that other CV risk factors corresponding to DM development and progression could directly influence on vascular wall and endothelium. Indeed, hypertension, dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia, and inflammation are established risk factors for multiple focus atherosclerosis and vascular calcification [6]. Whether GDF-15 could be involved as the protector from atherosclerotic injury with similar molecular mechanisms as mentioned above is not sufficiently clear. Although some scientists reported that levels of GDF-15 in people with diabetes demonstrated the close positive association with traditional CV risk factors, such as LDL cholesterol, BMI, age, inflammatory activity, but not with TG [14,15]. The results of our study well correspond with these findings, and we yielded that GDF-15 could be a component of the endogenous vascular repair system that maintains vascular integrity and function. In fact, the role of GDF-15 in DM is not entirely understood and requires to be investigated in the large clinical trials focusing on protective mechanisms of GDF-15 on EPCs and other components of vascular repair system.

### (a) Study limitations

There were several limitations of the study predominantly relating to a small number of patients and no randomized design. Although it was a small sample size in this study, statistical power was adequate. To note, the duration of T2DM for each patient included in the study was not known and hence. It can be significant because there was a strong negative correlation between the number of circulating angiogenic EPCs and severity of the disease, as well as fluctuation of fasting glucose and the level of HbA<sub>1c</sub>. Therefore, in the study, we included T2DM patients without established CV disease including asymptomatic atherosclerosis. Probably, an association between GDF-15 and circulating number of angiogenic EPCs requires to be compared in people with diabetes with known atherosclerosis and/or CV disease. Additionally, our findings regarding protective role of GDF-15 remains to be confirmed via validation in external cohorts, particularly in large clinical trials.

## 5. Conclusions

The results of the study clarified that GDF-15 was an independent factor influenced on number of endothelial precursors with high angiopoetic activity in T2DM patients. These findings could take into consideration in risk stratification and individualized care of the T2DM patients.

## 6. Open Access

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CCBY4.0) which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

## 7. List of abbreviations

**Am**: late diastolic myocardial velocity; **BMI**: body mass index; **BP**: blood pressure; **CI**: confidence interval; **CV**: cardiovascular; **DM**: diabetes mellitus; **E**: peak velocity of early diastolic left ventricular filling; **Em**: early diastolic myocardial velocity; **EPCs**: endothelial progenitor cells; **GDF-15**: growth / differential factor-15; **GFR**: glomerular filtration rate; **HD-FACS**: High-Definition Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorter; **HDL-C**: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; **hs-CRP**: high sensitive C-reactive protein; **LDL-C**: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; **LV**: left ventricular; **LVEF**: left ventricular ejection fraction; **LVH**: LV hypertrophy; **OR**: odds ratio; **SSC**: side scatter characteristic; **WHR**: weight to hip ratio

## 8. Ethics approval and consent to participate

All the patients have given their written informed consent for participation in the study. The study was approved by the Local Ethical Committee. The investigators followed strictly all the requirements to clinical trials in conformity with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki, 1964, good clinical practice provided by International Conference on Harmonization, Council of Europe Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Dignity of the Human Being in view of using achievements in biology and medicine, convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine, including Additional Protocol to the Convention on Human Rights and Biomedicine, concerning Biomedical Research, and legislation of Ukraine.

## 9. Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## 10. Authors' contributions

The authors have contributed equally to this paper.

## 11. Acknowledgments

We thank all patients for their participation in the investigation, staff of the Regional Zaporozhye Hospital (Ukraine) and the doctors, nurses, and administrative staff in City hospital #6 (Zaporozhye, Ukraine), City hospital #10 (Zaporozhye, Ukraine), Private Clinic "Vita Center" (Zaporozhye, Ukraine), Regional Center of Cardiovascular Diseases (Zaporozhye, Ukraine), general practices, and site-managed organizations that assisted with the study.

## References

1. Naseribafrouei A, Eliassen BM, Melhus M, Svartberg J, Broderstad AR. 2018 Prevalence of pre-diabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus among Sami and non-Sami men and women in Northern Norway - The SAMINOR 2 Clinical Survey. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health* 77, 1463786.

2. Barreto M, Kislaya I, Gaio V, Rodrigues AP, Santos AJ, and SN. 2018 Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of diabetes in Portugal: Results from the first National Health examination Survey (INSEF 2015). *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice* **140**, 271–8.
3. Bullard KM, Cowie CC, Lessem SE, Saydah SH, Menke A, Geiss LS. 2018 Prevalence of Diagnosed Diabetes in Adults by Diabetes Type - United States, 2016. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* **67**, 359–61.
4. López-Leal J, Cueto-Manzano AM, Martínez-Torres J, de la O-Peña D, Téllez-Agraz EU, Cortés-Sanabria L. 2017 Prevalence and risk factors of chronic kidney disease in the comprehensive care program DiabetIMSS. *Revista Medica del Instituto Mexicano del Seguro Social* **55**, S210–8.
5. Sarwar N, Gao P, Seshasai SR, Gobin R, Kaptoge S, Angelantonio ED, Factors CER. 2010 Diabetes mellitus, fasting blood glucose concentration, and risk of vascular disease: a collaborative meta-analysis of 102 prospective studies. *Lancet* **375**, 2215–22.
6. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, Albus C, Brotons C, and ALC. 2016 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: The Sixth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and Other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice (constituted by representatives of 10 societies and by invited experts) Developed with the special contribution of the European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR). *European Heart Journal* **37**, 2315–81.
7. Chellapan DK, Yap WS, Suhaimi NABA, Gupta G, Dua K. 2018 Current therapies and targets for type 2 diabetes mellitus: a review. *Panminerva Medica* • • •.
8. Burggraaf B, Cabezas MC. 2017 Interventions in type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular mortality-An overview of clinical trials. *European Journal of Internal Medicine* **42**, 1–15.
9. Berezin AE. 2017 Cardiac biomarkers in diabetes mellitus: new dawn for risk stratification?. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome* **11**, S201–8.
10. Morrow RM, Picard M, Derbeneva O, Leipzig J, McManus MJ, Gouspillou G. 2017 Mitochondrial energy deficiency leads to hyperproliferation of skeletal muscle mitochondria and enhanced insulin sensitivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **114**, 2705–10.
11. Lin JF, Wu S, Hsu SY, Yeh KH, Chou HH, Cheng ST. 2014 Growth-differentiation factor-15 and major cardiac events. *The American Journal of the Medical Sciences* **347**, 305–11.
12. Hsu LA, Wu S, Juang JJ, Chiang FT, Teng MS, Lin JF. 2017 Growth Differentiation Factor 15 May Predict Mortality of Peripheral and Coronary Artery Diseases and Correlate with Their Risk Factors. *Mediators of Inflammation* **2017**, 9398401.
13. Wang X, Zhu L, Wu Y, Sun K, Su M, Yu L. 2016 Plasma growth differentiation factor 15 predicts first-ever stroke in hypertensive patients. *Medicine* **95**, e4342.
14. Resl M, Clodi M, Vila G, Luger A, Neuhold S, Wurm R. 2016 Targeted multiple biomarker approach in predicting cardiovascular events in patients with diabetes. *Heart (British Cardiac Society)* **102**, 1963–8.
15. Shin MY, Kim JM, Kang YE, Kim MK, Joung KH, Lee JH. 2016 Association between Growth Differentiation Factor 15 (GDF15) and Cardiovascular Risk in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Journal of Korean Medical Science* **31**, 1413–8.
16. Berezin AE. 2016 Biomarkers for cardiovascular risk in patients with diabetes. *Heart (British Cardiac Society)* **102**, 1939–41.
17. Habertzettl P, Conklin DJ, O'Toole TE. 2018 12.05 - Endothelial Progenitor Cells: Properties, Function, and Response to Toxicological Stimuli.
18. Ferreras C, Cole CL, Urban K, Jayson GC, Avizienyte E. 2015 Segregation of late outgrowth endothelial cells into functional endothelial CD34- and progenitor-like CD34+ cell populations. *Angiogenesis* **18**, 47–68.
19. Patel J, Donovan P, Khosrotehrani K. 2016 Concise Review: Functional Definition of Endothelial Progenitor Cells: A Molecular Perspective. *Stem Cells Translational Medicine* **5**, 1302–6.
20. Berezin AE, Kremzer AA, Berezina TA, Martovitskaya YV, Gronenko EA. 2016 Data regarding association between serum osteoprotegerin level, numerous of circulating endothelial-derived and mononuclear-derived progenitor cells in patients with metabolic syndrome. *Data in Brief* **8**, 717–22.
21. Falay M, Aktas S. 2016 Endothelial Progenitor Cells (EPC) Count by Multicolor Flow Cytometry in Healthy Individuals and Diabetes Mellitus (DM) Patients. *Clinical Laboratory* **62**, 2161–6.

22. Berezin AE, Samura TA, Kremzer AA, Berezina TA, Martovitskaya YV, Gromenko EA. 2016 An association of serum vistafin level and number of circulating endothelial progenitor cells in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome* **10**, 205–12.
23. Bakogiannis C, Tousoulis D, Androulakis E, Briasoulis A, Papageorgiou N, Vogiatzi G. 2012 Circulating endothelial progenitor cells as biomarkers for prediction of cardiovascular outcomes. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* **19**, 2597–604.
24. Diabetes AA. 2018 Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2018 Abridged for Primary Care Providers. *Clinical Diabetes* **36**, 14–37.
25. Lindson-Hawley N, Begh R, McDermott MS, McEwen A, Lycett D. 2013 The importance of practitioner smoking status: a survey of NHS Stop Smoking Service practitioners. *Patient Education and Counseling* **93**, 139–45.
26. Health OW. 2008 *Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio report of a WHO expert consultation*.
27. Ashwell M, Gunn P, Gibson S. 2012 Waist-to-height ratio is a better screening tool than waist circumference and BMI for adult cardiometabolic risk factors: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obesity Reviews* **13**, 275–86.
28. Quiñones MA, Douglas PS, Foster E, Gorcsan J, Lewis JF, Pearlman AS, of CAC, Heart AA, of PAC, of Internal Medicine Task Force on Clinical CAS. 2003 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association clinical competence statement on echocardiography: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association/American College of Physicians—American Society of Internal Medicine Task Force on Clinical Competence. *Circulation* **107**, 1068–89.
29. Lang RM, Bierig M, Devereux RB, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Pellikka PA, Writing GCQ, of Echocardiography's GAS, Standards C, of EEA. 2005 Recommendations for chamber quantification: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography's Guidelines and Standards Committee and the Chamber Quantification Writing Group, developed in conjunction with the European Association of Echocardiography, a branch of the European Society of Cardiology. *Journal of the American Society of Echocardiography* **18**, 1440–63.
30. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH, Zhang YL, Castro AF, Feldman HI, Ckd EPI. 2009 A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Annals of Internal Medicine* **150**, 604–12.
31. Tung JW, Parks DR, Moore WA, Herzenberg LA, Herzenberg LA. 2004 New approaches to fluorescence compensation and visualization of FACS data. *Clinical Immunology (Orlando, Fla.)* **110**, 277–83.
32. Adela R, Banerjee SK. 2015 GDF-15 as a Target and Biomarker for Diabetes and Cardiovascular Diseases: A Translational Prospective. *Journal of Diabetes Research* **2015**, 490842.
33. Hong JH, Chung HK, Park HY, Joung KH, Lee JH, Jung JG. 2014 GDF15 Is a Novel Biomarker for Impaired Fasting Glucose. *Diabetes & Metabolism Journal* **38**, 472–9.
34. Dominguez-Rodriguez A, Abreu-Gonzalez P, Avanzas P. 2014 Usefulness of growth differentiation factor-15 levels to predict diabetic cardiomyopathy in asymptomatic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *The American Journal of Cardiology* **114**, 890–4.
35. Berezin AE. 2016 Diabetes mellitus related biomarker: the predictive role of growth-differentiation factor-15. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome* **10**, S154–7.
36. Krintus M, Kozinski M, Kubica J, Sypniewska G. 2014 Critical appraisal of inflammatory markers in cardiovascular risk stratification. *Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences* **51**, 263–79.
37. Murakami T, Ueba Y, Shinoto Y, Koga Y, Kaneda D, Hatoko T. 2016 Successful Glycemic Control Decreases the Elevated Serum FGF21 Level without Affecting Normal Serum GDF15 Levels in a Patient with Mitochondrial Diabetes. *The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine* **239**, 89–94.
38. Kim JM, Back MK, Yi HS, Joung KH, Kim HJ, Ku BJ. 2016 Effect of Atorvastatin on Growth Differentiation Factor-15 in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Dyslipidemia. *Diabetes & Metabolism Journal* **40**, 70–8.
39. Zeng H, Jiang Y, Tang H, Ren Z, Zeng G, Yang Z. 2016 Abnormal phosphorylation of Tie2/Akt/eNOS signaling pathway and decreased number or function of circulating endothelial progenitor cells in prehypertensive premenopausal women with diabetes mellitus. *BMC Endocrine Disorders* **16**, 13.
40. Yang Z, Xia WH, Zhang YY, Xu SY, Liu X, Zhang XY. 2012 Shear stress-induced activation of Tie2-dependent signaling pathway enhances reendothelialization capacity of early endothelial progenitor cells. *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology* **52**, 1155–63.

41. Berezin AE. 2017 Endothelial progenitor cells dysfunction and impaired tissue reparation: the missed link in diabetes mellitus development. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome* **11**, 215–20.

2492

Biomed. Res. Ther. 2018, 5(7): 2480-2492  
.....